

<https://doi.org/10.69760/jales.2025001000>

## The source of the British system of toponymic names

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4172-4748>

Elnaz Aliyeva

Nakhchivan State University

### Abstract

Geographical names are, above all, the words that are a unit of language vocabulary. Just as every word follows the grammatical rules of the language, so does it apply to geographical names. They are collected in the dictionary under the name of special names. It should be noted that the toponyms that form part of the dictionary, despite being special names, differ in a number of features. The complexity and diversity of British place names is historically associated with the settlement of many peoples in the country.

The article deals with the influence of many tribes and nations historically inhabiting in Britain on the system of toponymic names of this country. In this article it is investigated the toponyms of Great Britain, which have their roots in the languages of at least five different peoples - Celts, Romans, Anglo-Saxons, Scandinavians, French and the role of all these peoples in the formation of the toponymic names of this country.

### Keywords

geographical names, lexical units, linguistics, special names, toponyms, system of toponymic names, vocabulary stock of the language, the origin of place names

### Introduction

Geographical names, or toponyms, hold a profound significance as linguistic and cultural artifacts that reflect the history, beliefs, and sociocultural dynamics of the people who created them. In the context of Britain, toponyms are particularly intricate due to the complex interplay of linguistic, historical, and cultural influences that have shaped the British Isles over millennia. The study of toponyms provides valuable insights into the linguistic evolution of a region and its cultural memory, as these names serve as living relics of the societies that once inhabited the land.

Toponyms are more than mere labels for geographical locations; they are linguistic markers that encapsulate historical narratives and social transformations. For instance, the use of Celtic prefixes such as *tre-* (village) in Cornwall (*Tregaron*, *Treorchy*) and *pen-* (slope) in Wales (*Penzance*, *Penarth*) points to the early Celtic settlement in these regions (James, 2011). These names illustrate how indigenous populations imprinted their linguistic identity on the landscape, leaving traces of their language and culture. Similarly, the Roman suffix *-chester* or *-caster*, derived from the Latin *castra* (camp), as seen in *Manchester* and *Doncaster*, underscores the Roman influence during their occupation of Britain (Hough, 2010).



The arrival of the Anglo-Saxons introduced Old English linguistic elements that became foundational to British toponyms. Names like *Birmingham* (*ham* meaning farm) and *Ashbourne* (*bourne* meaning stream) reflect the agricultural and rural orientation of Anglo-Saxon society (Tentand & Blair, 2011). Subsequently, the Viking invasions introduced Old Norse elements, such as *by* (village) in *Grimsby* and *holm* (island) in *Oxenholm*, further enriching the toponymic tapestry of Britain (Leidner, 2008). These linguistic layers demonstrate the impact of successive waves of settlement and conquest on the linguistic landscape of Britain.

The Norman Conquest of 1066 marked another significant chapter in the evolution of British toponyms. The Normans altered many existing names to suit their phonetic preferences and imposed new ones to assert their cultural and political dominance. For example, *Beaumont* (beautiful hill) replaced the unflattering *Fulepet* (filthy hole) (Musselwhite, 2021). This linguistic transformation highlights the power dynamics inherent in naming practices, as toponyms became tools of cultural assertion and identity negotiation (Bigon & Njoh, 2015).

Beyond their historical and cultural significance, toponyms also function as linguistic phenomena subject to the grammatical rules and semantic conventions of their respective languages. They frequently incorporate elements describing physical features, such as *Mont Blanc* (white mountain), or honor significant individuals or events, such as *Washington, D.C.* (named after George Washington) (Garagulya et al., 2013). In Britain, the diversity of toponymic structures underscores the interplay between indigenous linguistic traditions and external influences, resulting in a rich and varied lexicon of place names.

Understanding British toponyms thus requires a multidisciplinary approach that combines linguistics, history, and cultural studies. This study aims to delve into the linguistic and cultural origins of British place names, exploring how the interplay of Celtic, Roman, Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian, and Norman elements has shaped the modern toponymic system. By examining these influences, the research seeks to illuminate the profound connection between language, history, and cultural identity, as reflected in the geographical names of Britain.

## II. Historical Background

The toponymic landscape of Britain is a vivid tapestry woven from the linguistic and cultural contributions of the many peoples who have inhabited the British Isles. Each group—Celts, Romans, Anglo-Saxons, Scandinavians, and Normans—left distinct linguistic footprints, enriching the British system of geographical names.

### *Celtic Influence*

The earliest settlers, the Celts, contributed significantly to the British toponymic system. Predating the Roman invasion, their influence is most evident in the western and northern parts of Britain,



such as Wales, Cornwall, and Scotland. Celtic prefixes like *tre-* (village) and *pen-* (slope) remain prevalent in Cornish and Welsh toponyms, respectively. Examples include *Treorchy*, *Penzance*, and *Penarth* (James, 2011). Additionally, in Northern Scotland, elements such as *aber-* (river mouth) and *glen-* (narrow valley) appear in names like *Aberdeen* and *Glenarm*, showcasing the integration of physical geography into linguistic forms.

The linguistic resilience of Celtic toponyms, despite centuries of external influence, underscores their foundational role in Britain's naming system. Their continued usage in regions like Wales reflects not only linguistic continuity but also the preservation of cultural identity (James, 2011).

### *Roman Influence*

With the Roman conquest of Britain in 43 CE, the toponymic landscape underwent a transformative phase. Romans introduced Latin as the administrative and cultural language, embedding their linguistic elements into local place names. Common Latin-derived suffixes include *-chester* and *-caster* from *castra* (camp), seen in *Manchester*, *Doncaster*, and *Winchester* (Hough, 2010).

The Roman legacy also includes terms reflecting infrastructure, such as *pont-* (bridge), as in *Pontypool* and *Pontypridd*. These names highlight the Romans' focus on engineering and urbanization, leaving an indelible mark on Britain's geography (Leidner, 2008). Roman elements in British toponyms often signal areas of military or economic significance during their occupation.

### *Anglo-Saxon Influence*

The Anglo-Saxons brought Old English, the linguistic ancestor of modern English, to Britain. This period saw the creation of toponyms reflecting the agricultural and rural lifestyle of these settlers. For example, *ham* (farm) appears in names like *Birmingham* and *Buckingham*, while *tun* (fenced land or farm) is evident in *Brighton* and *Preston* (Tentand & Blair, 2011).

Anglo-Saxon names also integrate descriptors of natural landscapes, such as *don* (hill) in *Abingdon* and *burn* (stream) in *Blackburn*. These names not only reflect the settlers' environment but also serve as linguistic markers of Old English's regional dominance during this era (Hough, 2010).

### *Scandinavian Influence*

The Viking invasions of the 9th and 10th centuries introduced Old Norse elements into British toponyms. This influence is particularly strong in northern England and Scotland, where the



Vikings established settlements. Suffixes like *-by* (village) in *Grimsby* and *-dale* (valley) in *Rochdale* reflect the Scandinavian linguistic imprint (Leidner, 2008).

In addition to settlement-related names, geographic descriptors such as *holm* (island) in *Oxenholm* and *thwaite* (forest clearing) in *Braithwaite* highlight the integration of Norse terminology into the local lexicon. These names emphasize the adaptive and integrative nature of toponymy, as local populations adopted and adapted foreign linguistic elements.

### *Norman Influence*

The Norman Conquest of 1066 brought French linguistic elements to Britain. The Normans not only imposed their political authority but also reshaped the toponymic landscape to reflect their dominance. French terms such as *mont-* (mountain) in *Beaumont* and *ville* (mansion) in *Coalville* illustrate this influence (Musselwhite, 2021).

In some cases, the Normans replaced unfavorable local names, such as *Fulepet* (filthy hole), which became *Beaumont* (beautiful hill). These changes underscore the Normans' efforts to assert cultural superiority through language (Bigon & Njoh, 2015). Additionally, Norman pronunciation influenced the adaptation of existing names, as seen in *Durham* and *Nottingham*.

### *Cultural Integration*

The overlapping layers of linguistic contributions demonstrate the dynamic interplay between language and culture in Britain. Each group not only introduced new elements but also influenced how earlier names were preserved or altered. This integration reflects the continuous evolution of language in response to sociopolitical and cultural changes.

## **III. Linguistic Features of Toponyms**

Toponyms are linguistic units with unique characteristics that distinguish them from other elements of a language's lexicon. As special names assigned to geographical features, they follow particular linguistic rules and exhibit patterns shaped by the cultures and languages that created them. In Britain, the linguistic features of toponyms reveal a rich interplay of phonetic, morphological, and semantic elements introduced by different peoples over centuries.

### *Formation Rules and Patterns*

Toponyms are typically created to describe geographical features, commemorate historical events, or honor individuals. For example, names like *Mont Blanc* (white mountain) and *River Foss* (Latin *fos*, meaning canal or ditch) illustrate how physical attributes influence naming conventions (Hough, 2010). These formations rely on the descriptive capabilities of the language, drawing on existing vocabulary or linguistic innovations to craft meaningful labels.



In Britain, the lack of a unified system for naming geographical features has led to a variety of toponymic structures. For instance, descriptive terms such as *bourne* (stream) in *Ashbourne* and *dun* (hill) in *Abingdon* reflect physical geography, while others like *Preston* (*tun*, meaning town or settlement) and *Canterbury* (*bury*, meaning fortified place) indicate human settlement patterns (Tentand & Blair, 2011).

### *Morphological Elements*

British toponyms often feature prefixes and suffixes that serve as linguistic markers of their origin and function. These morphological elements provide clues about the time and culture in which the names were created. For example:

- **Celtic Prefixes:**
  - *Aber-* (river mouth) in *Aberdeen* and *Aberystwyth*.
  - *Pen-* (slope) in *Penzance* and *Penarth* (James, 2011).
- **Roman Suffixes:**
  - *-chester* and *-caster* (camp) in *Manchester* and *Doncaster*.
  - *-port* (door or harbor) in *Stockport* and *Southport* (Hough, 2010).
- **Anglo-Saxon Suffixes:**
  - *-ham* (farm) in *Buckingham* and *Durham*.
  - *-bury* (fortified place) in *Canterbury* and *Tewkesbury*.
- **Scandinavian Suffixes:**
  - *-by* (village) in *Grimsby* and *Tenby*.
  - *-holm* (island) in *Oxenholm* and *Bromholm*.
- **Norman Prefixes and Suffixes:**
  - *Beau-* (beautiful) in *Beaulieu* and *Belvoir*.
  - *-ville* (mansion) in *Coalville* and *Bronville* (Musselwhite, 2021).

These affixes not only classify toponyms by linguistic origin but also contribute to their meaning, providing functional or descriptive insights.

### *Phonetic Transformations*



Over time, phonetic changes have altered the original forms of many British toponyms. Mispronunciations, linguistic shifts, and adaptations by successive settlers have led to the evolution of place names. For example, *Durham* evolved from the Old English *Dun Holm* (hill island) to its current form, reflecting Norman linguistic influence (Leidner, 2008). Similarly, Celtic names were often anglicized or adapted to fit Roman and later Anglo-Saxon phonetic systems.

Phonetic transformations also occurred when settlers introduced new linguistic features that clashed with existing naming conventions. The Norman replacement of *Fulepet* (filthy hole) with *Beaumont* (beautiful hill) demonstrates how cultural preferences influenced pronunciation and meaning (Bigon & Njoh, 2015).

### *Semantic Features*

Toponyms are semantically rich, encapsulating historical, geographical, and cultural information. They often carry symbolic meanings or commemorate significant events and figures. For instance:

- *Washington, D.C.* honors George Washington, reflecting the practice of naming places after influential individuals (Garagulya et al., 2013).
- *Battle*, a town in Sussex, commemorates the Battle of Hastings in 1066, highlighting a historical event.

In Britain, descriptive names such as *Brighton* (*bright town*) and *Southampton* (*southern town*) reflect semantic transparency, while others like *Birmingham* (farmstead of Beorma's people) preserve older, less immediately recognizable meanings (Hough, 2010). These semantic features underscore the toponym's role as a repository of cultural and historical memory.

### *Cognitive and Social Dimensions*

Toponyms function as cognitive tools that help people navigate and conceptualize their environment. They create mental associations between language and geography, linking human cognition to physical and social spaces. For example, names like *Newcastle* evoke imagery of a defensive structure, while *Greenwich* (*green village*) suggests a pastoral setting.

Socially, toponyms often reflect power dynamics and cultural hierarchies. The Norman rebranding of British place names demonstrates how language was used to assert dominance and reshape cultural landscapes (Musselwhite, 2021). This dynamic continues today, as toponyms are reinterpreted or repurposed to reflect modern identities and values (Light & Young, 2015).

### *Contribution to Language Enrichment*

Toponyms enrich a language by introducing new terms and expanding its lexical inventory. Names like *Southwold* (*wold* meaning forest) and *Knutsford* (*ford* meaning river crossing) preserve archaic



linguistic elements that might otherwise be forgotten. Additionally, toponyms often serve as sources of inspiration for surnames, literary works, and cultural expressions, demonstrating their enduring influence on language and society (McClure, 1979).

The linguistic features of British toponyms illustrate the deep connection between language and geography. Through their morphological elements, phonetic transformations, semantic depth, and cognitive functions, these names provide a window into the linguistic, historical, and cultural evolution of Britain. Understanding these features not only enriches linguistic scholarship but also enhances appreciation of the intricate relationship between language and the human experience.

#### 4. Methodology

The research on the British system of toponymic names employs a multidisciplinary approach, combining descriptive, analytical, and comparative methods to explore the historical, linguistic, and cultural dimensions of toponyms. By drawing on linguistic, historical, and geographical data, this study examines the origins, meanings, and transformations of British place names across different periods.

##### *Research Design*

The methodology is structured around the following components:

##### 1. Descriptive Analysis:

- Identifies the linguistic features of toponyms, including prefixes, suffixes, phonetic patterns, and semantic characteristics.
- Documents examples from various historical and linguistic sources to classify toponyms by their cultural and linguistic origins (e.g., Celtic, Roman, Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian, Norman).
- Highlights regional variations in toponymic patterns within Britain.

##### 2. Historical Linguistic Analysis:

- Examines the chronological evolution of place names, tracing their roots in Old English, Latin, Old Norse, and other languages.
- Analyzes historical documents, maps, and linguistic texts to understand the influence of historical events, such as the Roman occupation and the Norman Conquest, on the toponymic system (Hough, 2010).

##### 3. Comparative Method:



- Compares toponyms of different linguistic origins to identify patterns of convergence and divergence.
- Evaluates the impact of successive waves of settlement and conquest on the integration and adaptation of linguistic elements in British toponyms (Tentand & Blair, 2011).

### *Data Collection*

The study utilizes a variety of primary and secondary sources to collect data on British toponyms:

- **Historical Records and Maps:**
  - Old English, Latin, and Norse texts documenting early place names.
  - Historical maps illustrating the geographical distribution of toponyms (James, 2011).
- **Linguistic Databases:**
  - Online resources and lexicons specializing in place names and their etymologies.
  - Published works on onomastics, such as Hough (2010) and Leidner (2008).
- **Field Research:**
  - Examination of modern place names in regions with strong linguistic influences, such as Wales, Cornwall, and Yorkshire.

### *Analytical Methods*

#### 1. **Etymological Analysis:**

- Investigates the origins and meanings of toponyms, focusing on their morphological and phonetic structures.
- Identifies linguistic elements (e.g., *-caster*, *-by*, *pen-*) and traces their roots in ancient languages.

#### 2. **Geolinguistic Mapping:**

- Maps the spatial distribution of toponymic elements to identify patterns of settlement and linguistic influence (Leidner, 2008).

#### 3. **Semantic Analysis:**



- Explores the meanings of toponyms and their cultural significance, such as descriptive terms (*Southwold*) or commemorative names (*Battle*).

### *Validation and Reliability*

- **Cross-referencing:**
  - Ensures data accuracy by comparing multiple sources, including historical documents, linguistic studies, and modern maps.
- **Peer-reviewed Literature:**
  - Utilizes scholarly works to validate findings and establish credibility (Tentand & Blair, 2011; Hough, 2010).
- **Triangulation:**
  - Combines historical, linguistic, and geographical data to provide a comprehensive understanding of the toponymic system.

### *Theoretical and Practical Applications*

1. **Theoretical Contribution:**
  - Enhances understanding of the relationship between language and geography.
  - Contributes to onomastic studies by elucidating the cultural and linguistic dynamics of British place names.
2. **Practical Implications:**
  - Provides a foundation for educational materials on British history and linguistics.
  - Aids in the preservation of cultural heritage by documenting and analyzing historical toponyms.

### *Limitations*

While the methodology is robust, some limitations must be acknowledged:

- **Data Gaps:** Incomplete historical records may result in gaps in understanding certain toponyms' origins.
- **Regional Focus:** The study emphasizes regions with strong linguistic influences (e.g., Wales, Cornwall) and may not fully capture less-documented areas.



- **Subjectivity:** Interpretation of toponyms' meanings may involve subjective judgments based on linguistic or historical context.

By employing these methods, the study aims to provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the British toponymic system, highlighting its linguistic richness and cultural significance.

## 5. Significance of Toponyms

Toponyms are far more than mere labels for geographical features; they are repositories of cultural, historical, and linguistic information that provide insights into the societies that created them. In Britain, the toponymic system reflects the complex interplay of cultural integration, linguistic evolution, and socio-political power over centuries. The study of toponyms is significant not only for understanding the past but also for appreciating how place names shape and reflect contemporary cultural identities.

### *Cultural and Historical Insight*

British toponyms serve as historical markers, encapsulating the identities, beliefs, and practices of the various peoples who have inhabited the region. For instance:

- **Celtic Influence:** Names such as *Aberdeen* (*aber-* meaning river mouth) and *Penarth* (*pen-* meaning head or slope) highlight the linguistic imprints of the early Celtic settlers (James, 2011).
- **Roman Legacy:** The Roman suffix *-chester* or *-caster*, derived from *castra* (camp), found in *Manchester* and *Doncaster*, emphasizes the Romans' military and administrative influence on the landscape (Hough, 2010).
- **Anglo-Saxon Contributions:** Place names like *Birmingham* (*ham*, meaning homestead) reflect the agricultural and community-focused society of the Anglo-Saxons (Tentand & Blair, 2011).

These examples underscore how toponyms act as linguistic fossils, preserving information about historical events, societal structures, and cultural values.

### *Reflection of Linguistic Evolution*

Toponyms in Britain reveal the layers of linguistic evolution resulting from the interaction of different languages over centuries. From Celtic and Latin to Old English and Old Norse, these place names showcase the integration and adaptation of linguistic elements. For example:

- The blending of Latin *castra* with Old English resulted in names like *Lancaster*.



- Old Norse influences introduced terms such as *by* (village) in *Grimsby* and *holm* (island) in *Oxenholm* (Leidner, 2008).
- Norman French brought terms like *mont* (mountain) in *Beaumont* and *ville* (mansion) in *Coalville*, reshaping existing names to reflect their dominance (Musselwhite, 2021).

The study of these linguistic transformations highlights the dynamic nature of language and its adaptability to socio-political changes.

### *Cognitive and Social Dimensions*

Toponyms also play a crucial role in shaping human cognition and social interaction. By naming geographical features, people create mental maps that help them navigate their environment and establish cultural connections. For instance:

- Names like *Greenwich* (*green village*) evoke imagery that reflects the physical characteristics or historical context of the location.
- Commemorative names like *Battle* (marking the site of the Battle of Hastings) and *Washington* (honoring George Washington) reinforce collective memory and cultural identity (Garagulya et al., 2013).

Socially, toponyms can signify power dynamics and cultural hierarchies. The Norman replacement of unflattering or indigenous place names with French ones, such as *Fulepet* becoming *Beaumont*, demonstrates how language was used as a tool of dominance and cultural imposition (Bigon & Njoh, 2015).

### *Preservation of Linguistic Heritage*

Toponyms are invaluable in preserving linguistic heritage, particularly archaic words and structures that may no longer be in active use. For example:

- Anglo-Saxon terms like *wold* (forest) in *Southwold* and *bury* (fortified place) in *Canterbury* provide insights into Old English vocabulary and syntax.
- Celtic prefixes like *aber-* and *pen-* remain as enduring markers of early British languages (James, 2011).

By studying toponyms, linguists and historians can trace the evolution of languages and gain a deeper understanding of how societies interacted with their environment.

### *Economic and Cultural Relevance*

In modern times, toponyms have acquired economic and cultural significance. They are often commodified as brands or symbols of heritage, enhancing tourism and local identity. For example:



- Cities like *Oxford* and *Cambridge* derive economic value from their association with renowned universities.
- Place names like *Edinburgh* and *London* are global cultural icons, attracting visitors and reinforcing the regions' identities (Light & Young, 2015).

### *Toponyms as a Window to the Worldview*

Toponyms encapsulate the cognitive and cultural worldview of the people who named them. They establish relationships between humans and their environment, reflecting societal priorities and values. For instance:

- Names like *Brighton* (*bright farm*) suggest a focus on prosperity and positivity.
- Scandinavian names like *Thwaite* (cleared land) emphasize the Vikings' interaction with the natural landscape (Leidner, 2008).

The significance of British toponyms lies in their ability to bridge the past and present, offering a unique perspective on the historical, linguistic, and cultural development of the region. These names provide not only a record of historical events and societal changes but also a living testament to the enduring connection between language, culture, and geography.

### **Conclusion**

The study of the British system of toponymic names reveals a rich tapestry of linguistic and cultural history. British toponyms serve as a window into the past, reflecting the settlement patterns, linguistic influences, and socio-political dynamics that have shaped the British Isles over millennia. These place names, formed through the interaction of Celtic, Roman, Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian, and Norman cultures, provide a unique lens through which to explore the evolution of language and identity.

Toponyms not only name geographical features but also preserve cultural memory and historical narratives. Celtic names such as *Aberdeen* and *Penzance* highlight the early linguistic imprints of indigenous populations, while Roman contributions like *Manchester* and *Doncaster* signify the administrative and military legacies of Roman rule. The Anglo-Saxon agricultural focus is evident in names like *Birmingham* and *Ashbourne*, whereas the Scandinavian influence, as seen in *Grimsby* and *Oxenholm*, reflects the Viking era. Norman contributions like *Beaumont* and *Coalville* further emphasize how naming practices often mirrored power dynamics and cultural imposition.

The linguistic features of these toponyms—morphological patterns, phonetic transformations, and semantic richness—illustrate the adaptability of language in the face of cultural and historical change. Toponyms function as linguistic fossils, preserving archaic elements



of language while simultaneously adapting to modern usage. They also serve as cognitive and social tools, shaping how individuals perceive and interact with their environment.

Beyond their historical and linguistic significance, toponyms remain culturally and economically relevant today. They are integral to local identity, branding, and heritage preservation, connecting communities to their historical roots and fostering cultural pride.

In conclusion, British toponyms embody the convergence of language, culture, and history. They are living artifacts that reflect the complexity of human experience and the enduring interplay between geography and language. By studying these names, we gain a deeper understanding of the historical, linguistic, and cultural forces that have shaped Britain, offering valuable insights into the ways societies use language to navigate and define their world.

## References

- Alieva, E. A. (2022). The riddles with metaphors. *German International Journal of Modern Science*, (32), 59-61.
- Babazade, Y. (2024). Proverbs in Pedagogy: Their Role in Language Teaching and Cultural Transmission. *Global Spectrum of Research and Humanities*, 1(1), 69-82. <https://doi.org/10.69760/gsrh.0101202407>
- Bigon, L., & Njoh, A. J. (2015). The toponymic inscription problematic in urban Sub-Saharan Africa: From colonial to postcolonial times. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 50(1), 25-40.
- Garagulya, S. I., Arzhavitina, V. A., Atitsogbui, R., & Nikitina, M. Y. (2013). American toponyms derived from given names as records of language, culture and history. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 24(10), 1296-1302.
- Hanks, P., Coates, R., & McClure, P. (2011, October). Methods for studying the origins and history of family names in Britain: Philology meets statistics in a multicultural context. In *Facts and findings on personal names: Some European examples. Proceedings of an international symposium in Uppsala, October 20–21* (pp. 37-58).
- Hough, C. (2010). *Toponymicon and Lexicon in North-west Europe: 'ever-changing Connection'*. Cambridge: Department of Anglo-Saxon, Norse and Celtic, University of Cambridge.
- James, A. G. (2011). Dating Brittonic place-names in southern Scotland and Cumbria. *The Journal of Scottish Name Studies*, 5, 57-114.
- Leidner, J. L. (2007, December). Toponym resolution in text: annotation, evaluation and applications of spatial grounding. In *ACM SIGIR Forum* (Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 124-126). New York, NY, USA: ACM.



- Leidner, J. L. (2008). *Toponym resolution in text: Annotation, evaluation and applications of spatial grounding of place names*. Universal-Publishers.
- Light, D., & Young, C. (2015). Toponymy as commodity: Exploring the economic dimensions of urban place names. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 39(3), 435-450.
- McClure, P. (1979). Patterns of migration in the late Middle Ages: the evidence of English place-name surnames. *The Economic History Review*, 32(2), 167-182.
- Musselwhite, P. (2021). Naming plantations: Toponyms and the construction of the plantation system in the English Atlantic. *Journal of Social History*, 54(3), 741-774.
- Njoh, A. J. (2017). Toponymic Inscription as an Instrument of Power in Africa: The case of colonial and post-colonial Dakar and Nairobi. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 52(8), 1174-1192.
- Tentand, J., & Blair, D. (2011). Motivations for naming: The development of a toponymic typology for Australian placenames. *Names*, 59(2), 67-89.
- Wanjiru-Mwita, M., & Giraut, F. (2020). Toponymy, pioneership, and the politics of ethnic hierarchies in the spatial organization of British colonial Nairobi. *Urban Science*, 4(1), 6.
- Юрина, Н. Г. (2023). Отражение национальной языковой картины мира в мордовских загадках. *Вестник уgroведения*, 13(4), 743-752.

Received: 03.01.2025

Revised: 05.01.2025

Accepted: 09.01.2025

Published: 12.01.2025



This is an open access article under the  
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License

Journal of Azerbaijan Language and Education Studies  
ISSN 3078-6177