Vol. 3 No. 4 (2026): Quartus
https://doi.org/10.69760/jales.2026004002

The Pedagogical Effectiveness of Project-Based Learning in English Language Teaching

[1] Nermin Ferecbeyli
[1] Master Student, Faculty of Foreign Languages, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan

Abstract

Project-based learning (PBL) has attracted considerable attention in English language teaching because it creates opportunities for learners to use English purposefully while investigating meaningful problems and producing authentic outcomes. This article examines the pedagogical effectiveness of project-based learning in English as a foreign or second language (EFL/ESL) classrooms. Drawing on established theoretical perspectives and selected scholarly literature, the study analyzes the potential of PBL to develop communicative competence, integrate language skills, increase motivation, foster collaboration, and support learner autonomy. A qualitative, narrative literature-review approach is employed rather than a classroom experiment. The analysis indicates that projects can provide a productive setting for meaningful interaction, repeated language use, feedback, and reflective learning; however, positive outcomes are not automatic. Their achievement depends on clear language objectives, appropriately scaffolded tasks, equitable participation, and valid assessment practices. The article proposes a practical implementation sequence and an illustrative project for English lessons. It concludes that PBL is most pedagogically effective when treated as a carefully structured complement to explicit language instruction rather than a substitute for it.

Keywords: *project-based learning; English language teaching; communicative competence; learner autonomy; collaborative learning; formative assessment*

1. Introduction

Contemporary English language education is expected to develop more than the ability to recall vocabulary items or reproduce grammatical rules. Learners increasingly need to express ideas, negotiate meaning, interpret information, collaborate with others, and communicate for academic, professional, and social purposes. Nevertheless, classroom practices in many settings continue to privilege teacher explanation, controlled exercises, and the assessment of isolated language forms. Although such practices can contribute to accuracy, they may provide insufficient opportunities for sustained, purposeful communication.

Project-based learning offers one response to this challenge. In a PBL environment, learners investigate a question or problem over an extended period and create a product or presentation for an audience. The product might be a school guide, a podcast, a survey report, a community-awareness campaign, or a digital exhibition. Students are required to read, listen, discuss, plan, draft, revise, and present as they work toward a shared outcome. Language therefore functions as both a learning goal and a resource for action (Beckett & Slater, 2005).

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

The aim of this article is to examine how and under what conditions PBL may improve the pedagogical quality of English language teaching. Three questions guide the discussion: (1) Which theoretical principles support the use of PBL in language education? (2) How can PBL contribute to linguistic and broader educational outcomes? (3) What instructional and assessment conditions are necessary for effective implementation? The discussion deliberately distinguishes plausible pedagogical mechanisms from outcomes that would require direct empirical testing.

2. Theoretical Perspectives and Previous Research

Project-based learning is commonly characterized by a meaningful driving question, sustained inquiry, student decision-making, authentic tasks, and a culminating product. Thomas (2000) emphasized that projects should be central to learning rather than decorative activities undertaken after the "real" teaching has finished. This distinction matters in language education: preparing a poster at the end of a grammar unit does not necessarily constitute PBL if learners have little opportunity to investigate, choose, communicate, or revise their work (Stoller, 2006; Guo et al., 2020).

Constructivist perspectives provide one foundation for PBL. Learning is understood as an active process in which learners interpret information and build knowledge through experience. Dewey (1938) argued for the educational significance of experience connected to reflective thought, while Vygotsky (1978) highlighted the role of social interaction and guided support in development. In English lessons, these ideas suggest that students benefit when they use language to solve meaningful problems with peers and receive assistance that helps them perform beyond their current independent level.

Communicative language teaching and task-based approaches offer a second foundation. Meaning-focused activity, information exchange, and purposeful interaction create opportunities for learners to test their understanding and make their messages clearer. Long (1996) explained the importance of interaction and negotiation in second-language development, and Swain (1985) emphasized that producing language can make learners notice gaps in their linguistic knowledge. A well-designed project repeatedly brings these processes together: learners ask questions, clarify opinions, negotiate decisions, and improve oral or written output in response to feedback.

PBL also aligns with research on motivation and agency. Self-determination theory identifies autonomy, competence, and relatedness as important psychological needs (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Projects can support these needs when students have meaningful choices, encounter manageable challenges, and contribute to a group. However, merely assigning group work does not ensure autonomy or motivation. Beckett and Slater (2005) observed that students may not readily recognize the academic value of project work unless teachers make language, content, and skill development explicit. Accordingly, transparent objectives and ongoing reflection are integral rather than optional.

3. Research Approach and Procedures

This article adopts a qualitative, literature-based analytical design. It synthesizes selected theoretical and applied contributions relevant to project-based learning, second-language acquisition, and English teaching. Foundational publications were chosen for their conceptual relevance, including work on experiential education, sociocultural learning, communicative interaction, learner motivation, and

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

project frameworks. The approach is a narrative review: it does not claim exhaustive database coverage, a PRISMA-compliant search, a meta-analysis, or primary classroom data.

The literature was considered through four analytical categories: (a) language-development mechanisms, (b) motivational and social dimensions, (c) instructional design and classroom implementation, and (d) assessment and limitations. Claims about educational benefits are interpreted cautiously because studies may differ in learner age, proficiency, project duration, teacher expertise, measurement instruments, and educational context. The principal contribution of the article is therefore a reasoned pedagogical synthesis and an actionable teaching framework, rather than a new numerical estimate of PBL effectiveness.

4. Pedagogical Contributions

4.1. Development of Communicative Competence

The central pedagogical advantage of PBL is the creation of situations in which communication has a recognizable purpose. During a project, learners must request information, express agreement and disagreement, explain procedures, justify choices, and report findings. Unlike isolated drills, these exchanges are tied to an outcome that students have reason to care about. For example, producing an English-language visitor guide requires students to interview potential readers, evaluate places, write descriptions, and adapt their recommendations for a target audience.

Projects can also integrate the four core language skills. Learners read source materials, listen to interviews or presentations, speak during planning and reporting, and write scripts, reports, captions, and reflections. Such integration resembles authentic communication, where language skills rarely operate separately. Repeated drafting and rehearsal provide opportunities to improve grammatical accuracy, coherence, pronunciation, and vocabulary. Nevertheless, fluency-oriented interaction must be complemented by explicit attention to recurring errors if accuracy is to develop systematically. Recent empirical studies provide context-specific evidence for skill development: mobile-assisted PBL has been associated with improved speaking performance in one Moroccan EFL setting (Benlaghrissi & Ouahidi, 2024), while a study in Ethiopia reported gains in EFL writing performance (Andargie et al., 2025). These findings support the plausibility of skill-specific benefits, but their different settings and designs do not establish a universal effect.

Related classroom research broadens the discussion of spoken project outcomes: Ismayilli et al. (2026) examine digital storytelling for foreign-language speaking, and Orujova et al. (2026) report on gesture- and facial-expression-supported language learning. These studies offer relevant examples of multimodal speaking practice, although neither directly tests project-based learning.

4.2. Motivation and Learner Autonomy

Projects may increase engagement because students can recognize the practical relevance of what they are learning. Choice of topic, audience, format, and resources can provide a sense of ownership. Visible progress toward a completed product may also strengthen confidence, particularly for learners who struggle to participate in textbook-centered lessons. Presenting work to classmates or a wider school community gives language use a social purpose and may make progress more tangible.

Autonomy develops through repeated opportunities to set goals, locate materials, organize tasks, monitor progress, and evaluate results. Yet an open-ended project can overwhelm less-proficient learners. Effective teachers therefore adjust the level of independence: beginners may receive

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

vocabulary banks, model texts, and sentence frames, whereas advanced students may independently select sources, formulate questions, and defend conclusions. Autonomy is best developed through graduated responsibility rather than the sudden withdrawal of teacher guidance. Evidence on engagement also remains context-dependent. Aubrey (2022) describes long-term learner engagement in a Japanese EFL project, while Yang et al. (2025) report positive motivation and academic-performance outcomes in a rural Chinese school. Together, these studies support further investigation of how project design and local conditions shape learner response.

4.3. Collaboration, Critical Thinking, and Intercultural Learning

Project work invites learners to coordinate responsibilities, negotiate different viewpoints, make decisions, and manage disagreements. These processes can develop interpersonal skills while providing additional occasions for speaking and listening. Research-based tasks also encourage students to compare sources, assess credibility, distinguish evidence from opinion, and reach justified conclusions. When projects concern cultural traditions, community issues, or international communication, they can promote awareness of multiple perspectives without reducing cultures to stereotypes.

These broader gains are pedagogically valuable but should not obscure the primary purpose of English instruction. A polished digital presentation is not evidence of language development if one student performs all the speaking or if learners rely on copied text. Collaborative structures must therefore make individual language contributions visible, for example through rotating roles, short progress conferences, individual reflection logs, and personal oral explanations.

5. Project Design, Implementation, and Assessment

Successful project-based English instruction begins with constructive alignment: the driving question, classroom activities, language objectives, learning support, and assessment criteria should reinforce one another. Before launching a project, the teacher identifies the proficiency level, target vocabulary, relevant grammatical structures, communicative functions, expected final product, and available time. The project question should be sufficiently authentic to encourage inquiry but narrow enough to be manageable within the syllabus.

A practical implementation sequence contains six connected stages. First, the teacher introduces the driving question and an example of the expected outcome. Second, students jointly establish success criteria and distribute responsibilities. Third, learners investigate the topic through reading, listening, surveys, or interviews while the teacher supplies language models. Fourth, students develop an initial product, practise communication, and receive formative feedback. Fifth, they revise and present their work to an identified audience. Finally, they evaluate both the product and the learning process through peer feedback and individual reflection.

As an illustrative application, an intermediate EFL class may complete a three-week project entitled “How Can We Make Our Town More Welcoming to International Visitors?” Small groups investigate local attractions, transport, accessibility, and cultural etiquette. They examine short English-language tourism texts, conduct a simple survey, draft recommendations, and prepare a bilingual map with English descriptions or a short English promotional video. Target language includes making recommendations, giving directions, comparing options, and explaining reasons. At each stage, the teacher models relevant language and checks that all learners participate.

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

The proposed project integrates reading, writing, listening, and speaking without assuming that access to advanced technology is essential. Where digital resources are limited, learners can produce printed brochures, hand-drawn maps, or live presentations. The pedagogical value lies primarily in inquiry, purposeful communication, revision, and reflection, not in the sophistication of the final medium.

Assessment should capture both the final result and the learning that occurs during the project. A balanced model combines diagnostic assessment at the beginning, formative assessment during investigation and drafting, and summative assessment after presentation. Language-related criteria might include relevance and accuracy of vocabulary, grammatical control, intelligibility, coherence, interactional effectiveness, and the quality of revision. Project-related criteria may address research quality, suitability for the intended audience, responsible use of sources, collaboration, and reflection.

Analytic rubrics make expectations transparent. For an oral presentation, for example, the teacher can assign separate scores for content organization, linguistic range, accuracy, fluency, pronunciation, and response to audience questions. Individual performance should be assessed alongside group products to prevent unequal contributions from distorting grades. Peer assessment and self-assessment are useful when guided by clear descriptors, although teacher judgment remains important for reliability.

To examine whether PBL actually improves learning in a particular context, teachers or researchers could compare pre-project and post-project speaking or writing tasks using equivalent rubrics. Classroom observation, learner journals, and interviews could help explain changes in participation and perceived confidence. Stronger research would include a comparison group, appropriate baseline measures, consistent scoring, and delayed assessment of retention. Such procedures would permit more defensible conclusions than satisfaction surveys or project grades alone (Guo et al., 2020).

6. Challenges and Inclusive Design

Despite its potential, PBL presents organizational and pedagogical challenges. Projects require time for preparation, research, language support, revision, and presentation. In examination-oriented curricula, this workload may compete with coverage of prescribed content. Unequal proficiency and confidence can lead to unequal participation; stronger students may dominate discussion, while quieter learners take on nonlinguistic tasks. Limited resources and large classes can further complicate supervision and feedback (Zaafour & Salaberri-Ramiro, 2022; Guo et al., 2020).

Another risk is prioritizing the attractiveness of the final product over language learning. Learners may divide tasks mechanically, translate entire passages without meaningful processing, or copy information from online sources. Teachers can reduce these problems through small checkpoints, source-evaluation guidance, in-class speaking requirements, individual accountability, and drafts that demonstrate revision. Clear mini-lessons on grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and genre conventions should be inserted when learners need them.

Contextual adaptation is crucial. Shorter, highly scaffolded projects may be more suitable for beginners; more open investigations may benefit advanced students. A mixed-ability class may require differentiated texts and flexible roles. Projects should also be inclusive: assessment must not penalize students merely for having fewer digital resources or less access to activities outside school. Thus, PBL is not a universally superior method. Its effectiveness depends on the fit between task design, learner needs, teacher expertise, available conditions, and intended language outcomes.

6.1. Differentiation, Scaffolding, and Access

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

An important measure of pedagogical effectiveness is whether a teaching approach creates meaningful opportunities for learners with different linguistic backgrounds, abilities, interests, and levels of confidence. Project-based learning can support differentiated instruction because the same driving question may be explored through varied materials and forms of contribution. However, differentiation should not mean that stronger learners perform the intellectually demanding language tasks while less-proficient learners only decorate slides or search for images. Every participant should be expected to read, write, listen, and speak in ways that are appropriately challenging. A teacher may provide shorter source texts and guided questions to one group while inviting another group to compare more complex texts, but all students should be accountable for explaining their findings in English.

Scaffolding can be organized at the level of language, process, and interaction. Language scaffolds include thematic vocabulary lists, sentence starters for disagreement and clarification, model paragraphs, and short pronunciation rehearsals. Process scaffolds include project calendars, checklists, intermediate deadlines, and examples of credible evidence. Interaction scaffolds may involve rotating responsibilities such as interviewer, note-taker, editor, and presenter. These supports are gradually withdrawn as learners demonstrate greater independence, reflecting the principle that assistance should respond to the learner's current developmental needs (Vygotsky, 1978). In this way, PBL can be a means of expanding participation rather than simply rewarding students who are already confident speakers.

Inclusive project design also requires attention to accessibility and classroom conditions. Students may have different access to devices, stable internet connections, or quiet places for group meetings. An academically sound design offers equivalent offline options and provides supervised class time for essential work. Teachers should select topics with sensitivity to learners' social and cultural experiences and avoid making personal disclosure a condition of success. Clear, respectful group norms are particularly important in multilingual classrooms. These measures do not eliminate differences among learners, but they reduce unnecessary barriers to demonstrating language competence.

6.2. Feedback, Reflection, and Evidence of Learning

One reason PBL may become pedagogically productive is that it creates a natural cycle of performance and improvement. Rather than submitting a single final answer, students can formulate an initial idea, receive feedback, reconsider their language choices, and produce a revised version. Feedback is most useful when it is specific, timely, and connected to the communicative purpose of the project. A teacher might note that a tourism brochure provides interesting information but uses unclear directions, or that an interview summary accurately reports responses but needs a more consistent past tense. Students can then see the relationship between linguistic form and successful communication. This approach links purposeful output with attention to linguistic gaps, a process consistent with Swain's (1985) account of language production.

Reflection turns practical activity into explicit learning. A brief weekly learning log can ask students which new expressions they used, which communication problems they faced, what feedback they received, and what they intend to improve next. Such prompts help distinguish mere task completion from growth in language awareness. For example, after recording a podcast, students may recognize that their ideas were well organized but that listeners had difficulty following rapid speech. Their next goal can then involve pacing, stress, and signposting expressions. Reflection is especially valuable

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

because learners may otherwise interpret the project principally as content research or creative production, rather than as an opportunity to develop English proficiency (Beckett & Slater, 2005).

Evidence of learning should be collected from more than one source. Drafts, teacher observations, short individual oral tasks, peer-feedback sheets, and revised final products provide a richer account of progress than a group grade alone. A portfolio might contain an early speaking recording, an annotated written draft, the final text, and a student commentary on changes. Comparing these artifacts can reveal development in organization, lexical choice, accuracy, and strategic competence. At the same time, differences between early and later performance should not automatically be attributed to PBL: maturation, additional instruction, task familiarity, and outside exposure to English may also contribute. Carefully designed pre- and post-tasks and transparent scoring criteria are therefore needed when a teacher wishes to evaluate impact rather than simply describe participation.

6.3. Digital Tools and Equitable Participation

Digital tools may extend the reach of a project, but they are not a prerequisite for success. Shared documents can support collaborative writing, recording applications can make oral rehearsal more reflective, and online exhibitions can provide a genuine audience. Yet digital convenience can introduce new difficulties, including distraction, unequal access, unverified sources, and uncritical use of automated translation or text generation. Teachers should therefore require learners to explain their sources, retain drafts, and demonstrate understanding through short unscripted conversations. The assessment of language should remain focused on what each learner can meaningfully understand and produce, not merely on the technical quality of the finished artifact. A recent discussion of AI-powered English-learning platforms similarly highlights both their potential for flexible practice and the need for responsible, teacher-guided use (Orujova, 2026).

7. Future Research

Although theoretical arguments support the use of PBL in English language teaching, pedagogical effectiveness must ultimately be examined through observable outcomes. Future studies could investigate whether particular designs lead to gains in speaking fluency, writing coherence, vocabulary retention, or willingness to communicate. Comparisons should distinguish between short and extended projects, beginner and advanced learners, and settings with different degrees of teacher support. Such distinctions matter because a strategy that is effective in one class may not transfer unchanged to another.

Research designs should combine robust measurement with close attention to classroom processes. Pre- and post-assessments can identify changes in performance, while classroom observations, interviews, and learner reflections help explain how those changes arise. When feasible, comparison groups, delayed assessments, and multiple raters can strengthen the interpretation of findings. Studies should also report the demands placed on teachers, including planning time, assessment workload, and access to resources. Evaluating both educational gains and practical costs would provide a more balanced understanding of when PBL is a worthwhile instructional choice.

8. Conclusion

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

Project-based learning offers a coherent framework for connecting English instruction with meaningful communication, inquiry, collaboration, and learner responsibility. The theoretical literature suggests that well-designed projects can create valuable opportunities for interaction, integrated language practice, feedback, and reflective development. They may also strengthen motivation and transferable skills because students use English to accomplish purposeful tasks for recognizable audiences.

However, the presence of a project alone does not guarantee pedagogical effectiveness. Teachers must articulate measurable language objectives, provide appropriate scaffolding, ensure individual participation, and assess both language development and project processes. Future empirical research should investigate long-term proficiency gains, differences among learner groups, and the effects of varying project durations and assessment models. On the basis of the present narrative synthesis, PBL is best understood as a flexible, evidence-informed complement to systematic English language instruction, with effectiveness determined by the quality of its implementation.

Declarations

Ethical Approval: This study is a qualitative, narrative literature review based on published scholarly sources. No human participants were involved. All sources are appropriately cited.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Funding: This research received no external funding and was conducted as part of the author's postgraduate studies at Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan.

Data Availability: All sources analysed in this review are publicly available and listed in the references.

Author Contributions: This study was solely conducted by the single author, who is responsible for conceptualization, analysis, and writing of the manuscript.

References

Andargie, A., Amogne, D., & Tefera, E. (2025). Effects of project-based learning on EFL learners' writing performance. *PLOS ONE, 20*(1), e0317518. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0317518

Aubrey, S. (2022). Enhancing long-term learner engagement through project-based learning. *ELT Journal, 76*(4), 441–451. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccab032

Beckett, G. H., & Slater, T. (2005). The project framework: A tool for language, content, and skills integration. *ELT Journal, 59*(2), 108–116. https://doi.org/10.1093/eltj/cci024

Benlaghrissi, H., & Ouahidi, L. M. (2024). The impact of mobile-assisted project-based learning on developing EFL students' speaking skills. *Smart Learning Environments, 11*, Article 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00303-y

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. Macmillan.

Guo, P., Saab, N., Post, L. S., & Admiraal, W. (2020). A review of project-based learning in higher education: Student outcomes and measures. *International Journal of Educational Research, 102*, 101586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101586

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**

Ismayilli, T., Ozperchin, A., Ibrahimli, N., Naghiyeva, G., & Orujova, D. (2026). The use of digital storytelling as an innovative tool in developing foreign language speaking skills. *Scientific Work, 20*(4), 85–98. https://doi.org/10.36719/2663-4619/128/85-98

Long, M. H. (1996). The role of the linguistic environment in second language acquisition. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), *Handbook of second language acquisition* (pp. 413–468). Academic Press.

Orujova, D. (2026). The effectiveness of AI-powered platforms in teaching English to university students. *Euro-Global Journal of Linguistics and Language Education, 3*(3), 48–53. https://doi.org/10.69760/egjlle.2603006

Orujova, D. I., Dinçer, A., Çitil, A., Ismayil, Z., Guliyev, A., Zeynalova, R. S., Ismayilli, T. M., & Nuri, A. B. (2026). Nonverbal communication strategies in second language learning through gestures and facial expressions. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 16*(2), 395–402. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2026.16.2.39

Stoller, F. L. (2006). Establishing a theoretical foundation for project-based learning in second and foreign language contexts. In G. H. Beckett & P. C. Miller (Eds.), *Project-based second and foreign language education: Past, present, and future* (pp. 19–40). Information Age Publishing.

Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. In S. Gass & C. Madden (Eds.), *Input in second language acquisition* (pp. 235–253). Newbury House.

Thomas, J. W. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. Autodesk Foundation. https://www.pblworks.org/

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Yang, P., Chen, S., Zhang, W., & Chen, J. (2025). The impact of project-based learning on EFL learners' learning motivation and academic performance: An empirical study in a Chinese rural school. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 12*, Article 1132. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05519-y

Zaafour, A., & Salaberri-Ramiro, M. S. (2022). Incorporating cooperative project-based learning in the teaching of English as a foreign language: Teachers' perspectives. *Education Sciences, 12*(6), 388. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12060388

Author	**Nermin Ferecbeyli** Master Student, Faculty of Foreign Languages Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan Email: nerminferecbeyli1@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-9327-7657

Received: 18.09.2026
Revised: 20.09.2026
Accepted: 06.11.2026
Published: 08.11.2026

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License **ISSN 3078-6177**